## SC's condemn on cow vigilantism - II

Click here for Part I

 $n\n$ 

## What is the issue?

 $n\$ 

Union government cannot remain silent over the violent activities in the name of cow protection, they should respond meaningfully.

 $n\n$ 

## Why is the issue stressed?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

- $\bullet$  Instances of groups beating up and even killing persons allegedly suspected of transporting cattle or bovine meat have become commonplace. \n
- The court had issued notices to the Centre and some states in April on a writ petition that demanded action against such cow protection groups.
- In the few months since then, more incidents of lynching have taken place.  $\$
- Villagers killed two persons transporting cattle in West Bengal less than two weeks ago.
- $\bullet$  Three persons were killed in the same State in June after they were accused of cattle theft, and so on.  $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\$ 

## What are the conduct of state over this issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- In more than one State, cow 'protectors' have legal recognition as local laws provide immunity to them if they were acting in good faith.
- The validity of such provisions in laws aimed at banning or regulating slaughter of animals and protecting the cow may be decided in the course of these proceedings.

۱'n

• In most cases, police register cases against the victims for slaughter or theft of cattle.

\n

- Typically, the administration seems eager to determine if they were engaged in cow slaughter or transportation of bovine meat than in arresting the culprits involved in murder and violence.
- It is dubious that whether the Centre ought to take recourse to Article 256, which empowers it to issue directions to the States, to put an end to the activities of vigilantes.
- $\bullet$  Instead of shirking its responsibility on the ground that this is essentially a law and order issue to be addressed by the States. \n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu** 

\n

