



SC's Ruling on Synchronised Trading

Why in news?

\n\n

The Supreme Court has recently upheld an adjudication order by SEBI and set aside a SAT order on synchronised trading.

\n\n

What is synchronised trading?

\n\n

\n

- A 'synchronised' trade is a pre-negotiated trade.
- **How** - Here, the buyers and sellers enter the quantity and price of shares on the screen they wish to transact at nearly the same time.
- The buy and sale transaction at the same day for the same quantity between the same set of broker/clients is called reversal of trade.
- Except the parties who have pre-fixed the price, nobody has the position to participate in the trade.
- This is done with the support of the brokers.
- Through circular trading between related entities of the company promoter, the price of the stock would be inflated.
- A year later the investor would sell the shares to promoter entities at the inflated price.
- The profit gained would then be shown as long term capital gains (used to be tax free till the recent Budget made it taxable).
- **Purpose** - The 'profit' would be returned to the promoter in either cash or

through another set of fake transactions.

\n

- These transactions may not necessarily happen through the stock exchange platform.

\n

- It thus serves as a means of converting black money to legitimate income.

\n

- Market is also manipulated to book artificial losses for tax purposes.

\n

- **Effect** - Synchronised trading may at times distort price discovery and affect other investors also.

\n

- SEBI had no way of proving these offline cash transactions.

\n

- It found it hard to raise charges of tax evasion and stock manipulation.

\n

\n\n

What is the present case?

\n\n

\n

- SEBI had imposed a penalty of Rs.1.8 crore on Rakhi Trading.

\n

- This was for indulging in synchronised trading through the 'reversal of trade' route in March 2009.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Notably, the price did not reflect the value of the underlying in synchronized and reverse transactions.

\n

- SEBI considered this a violation of the Prohibition of Fraudulent and Unfair Trade Practices Regulations.

\n

\n\n

What was SAT's order?

\n\n

\n

- The case went for appeal before the Securities Appellate Tribunal (SAT).

\n

- SEBI's order was struck down by SAT in 2011.
\n
- SAT admitted that the trades were synchronised.
\n
- But it held that the trades had no impact on the market and neither induced the investors.
\n
- As, SAT held that the derivative trades could not influence the market (Nifty index).
\n
- SEBI however alleged that the fictitious trades created false liquidity in the Nifty options contract, manipulating the market.
\n
- SEBI then appealed the SAT ruling in the Supreme Court.
\n

\n\n

What is the SC's ruling?

\n\n

- \n
- The Supreme Court has now set aside the SAT order.
\n
- The Court observed that the stock market is not a platform for any fraudulent or unfair trade practice.
\n
- SC has not mentioned the tax evasion angle in its judgement.
\n
- However it had made it clear that the synchronized trades did affect market integrity.
\n
- It held that orchestrated trades, whether in the cash or derivatives segment, are a misuse of the market mechanism.
\n
- Moreover, protection of interest of investors as per SEBI Act, 1992 necessarily includes prevention of misuse of the market.
\n
- The bench reiterated the need for a more comprehensive legal framework governing the securities market.
\n
- It stressed the need for SEBI to keep pace with changing times and develop principles for good governance in the stock market.
\n

\n\n

What is the significance?

\n\n

\n

- SC's ruling on synchronised trading strengthens SEBI in prosecuting cases of price manipulation in future.

\n

- It empowers SEBI to impose severe penalty even on the smallest manipulations in the derivative segment.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Live Law, Business Line

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT

Information is Empowering

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative