

SC's Stay on NCLT order

Why in news?

\n\n

The Supreme Court stayed a National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) order directing the government to take over the real estate firm Unitech.

\n\n

What is the case?

\n\n

\n

- Unitech failed to deliver homes to thousands who booked apartments and has over 16,000 undelivered units from nearly 70 projects. \n
- It has over Rs.6,000 crore debt and is yet to commence building flats for an estimated 19,000 homebuyers. \n
- The company has so far only paid a fraction of the money to customers who don't want to take possession of flats. \n
- The Supreme Court had earlier directed Unitech to fast-track delivery of flats or repay the money of homebuyers in its projects. \n
- The NCLT's order had come as a response to a plea of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs arguing that Unitech was a fit case for winding up. \n
- The NCLT had asked the existing directors not to function, and also not alienate their personal or company properties. \n
- The tribunal also permitted the government to nominate 10 directors to the Unitech board.

\n

• However, the government was considering the interest of thousands of home buyers and small depositors; it wanted to take over the company

management.

\n

• Meanwhile, Unitech appealed against the tribunal's order in the Supreme Court.

\n

- The government's move to take over the company without the court's permission has thus received condemnation.
- The Centre apologised for approaching the NCLT over a matter that was being dealt with by the top court.

∖n

- The Court has however stayed the NCLT order. $\space{1mm}\space$

\n\n

What is the significance?

\n\n

\n

• This is a sign that the government is serious about taking errant real estate firms to task.

\n

- In earlier similar cases, the court has been unable to get the promoters to pay the original amount and may just get away by paying a fraction. \n
- Given the magnitude of the problem, especially the fact that banks are unable to recover loans of huge sums from builders, it is important that the government step in.

\n

- However, the company claimed that the government move had set the clock back on the company's plans to deliver on its pending flats. \n

\n\n

What is the way out?

\n\n

∖n

- The government must be empowered to address errant builders, in quite the same manner it has on other corporate defaulters. \n
- Home-buyers are demanding a status on a par with that of financial lenders. $\slash n$
- The Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs has also suggested to the Ministry

of Corporate Affairs that home-buyers be recognised not merely as financial creditors, but as primary secured creditors. \n

• The Housing Ministry wants the IBC amended such that, in the event of the assets of a company being liquidated, home-buyers would have the first right.

∖n

• However, caution has to be taken that other creditors are also not encouraged to demand a similar status.

\n

- It is thus better the government takes charge of the assets. h
- And comes up with a solution so as to take care of the interests of both the banks and the home-buyers.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Quick Fact

\n\n

Government & NCLT

\n\n

\n

- Under the Companies Act, 2013, the central government may itself apply to the NCLT for an order. \n
- This is provided the central government is of the opinion that the affairs of the company are being conducted in a manner prejudicial to public interest. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Financial Express, Economic Times

\n





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative