

SC's Verdict on Passive Euthanasia and Living Will

Click here to know more on Euthanasia and Living Will

 $n\n$

Why in news?

 $n\n$

A Constitution Bench has made passive euthanasia and living will permissible.

 $n\n$

\n

A dignified death should follow a meaningful existence

\n

 $n\n$

What is SC's rationale?

 $n\n$

\n

- **Right to Die** The Supreme Court has upheld that the fundamental right to life and dignity includes right to refuse treatment and die with dignity.
- It observed that the fundamental right to a "meaningful existence" includes a person's choice to die without suffering.
- But it is held that active euthanasia was unlawful.
- **Dignified death** Lack of legal backing sometimes lead to suffering and undignified death of the patient.
- \bullet As, societal pressure and fear of criminal liability by relatives and medical doctors hamper them from making the needed decision. \n

• Religion, morality, philosophy, law and society have differing opinions on whether right to life included right to death or not.

۱n

• However, they all unanimously share the idea that a person should die with dignity.

\n

• **Individual Liberty** - The issue of death and when to die transcended the boundaries of law.

\n

• But the court had intervened because the sanctity of life included the dignity and autonomy of the individual.

\n

• The search for a meaningful existence, the pursuit of happiness included the exercise of free will which includes the right of a person to refuse medical treatment.

\n

 A person need not give any reasons nor is answerable to any authority on why he/she should write an advanced directive.

 $n\$

What are SC's guidelines on living will?

 $n\n$

\n

• A Living Will is a healthcare directive, in which people can state their wishes in advance for their end-of-life care, in case they are not in a position to make a decision then.

۱n

- Who An adult with a sound and healthy mind can make a Living Will.
- It should be voluntarily executed and based on informed consent.
- It should be expressed in specific terms in a language "absolutely clear and unambiguous".

\n

• **Content** - The Living Will should contain the circumstances in which medical treatment should be withheld or withdrawn.

\n

- It should give the name of the "guardian or close relative" who will give the go-ahead for starting the procedure of passive euthanasia.
- It should specify that the Will can be revoked any time.

\n

• An individual has the right to withdraw or alter the Living Will, but only in writing.

۱n

- \bullet So, if there are more than one Living Will, the latest one will be valid.
- Validity The Will shall be attested by two independent witnesses.
- It should preferably be counter-signed by the Judicial Magistrate First Class (JMFC) who is assigned the jurisdiction by the District Court.
- **JFMC** The JMFC shall preserve one hard copy, along with one in the digital format, in his/her office.
- \bullet JMFC shall forward a copy of the Will to the Registry of the District Court. $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{\mbox{\sc Nn}}}}$
- \bullet JMFC shall inform the immediate family of the executor, if not informed. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- A copy will be handed over to an official in the local government or Municipal Corporation or Municipality or Panchayat concerned.
- This authority shall nominate a custodian for the Living Will.
- Besides the guidelines, it was observed that modern medical science should balance its quest to prolong patient's life.
- Exception The court held that a Living Will shall not be applicable to the 'treatment in question'.
- This is when there are reasonable grounds for believing that circumstances exist which the person did not anticipate at the time of making the advance directive.

 \bullet And necessarily which would have affected his/her decision had he/she anticipated such circumstances. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$

 $n\n$

\n

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

 $n\n$

Quick Fact

 $n\n$

Aruna Shanbaug case

 $n\n$

\n

- Aruna Shanbaug is an Indian nurse who spent around 40 years in a vegetative state as a result of a sexual assault.
- In 2011, the Supreme Court, in a landmark judgement, issued a set of broad guidelines legalizing passive euthanasia in India.
- \bullet However, the present judgement observed that the previous judgement had complex procedure to get approval for passive euthanasia. \n
- This consequently made the dignity of a dying person dependent on the whims and will of third parties.
- The present judgement, allowing individual Living Will and framing the appropriate guidelines, has addressed the earlier shortfalls with taking forward passive euthanasia.

\n

