



Self Reliance in Defence Production

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The Defence Production Policy (DProP) 2018 has set ambitious goals for 2025.
- There is a need for some fine tunings in defence industry to achieve the goal of self reliance.

\n

\n\n

What does the policy provide for?

\n\n

\n

- It includes provisions for boosting production, exports, and investment.
- It would, thereby, create two-three million jobs domestically.
- It also aims to achieve global leadership in artificial intelligence and cyberspace technology.
- To drive this policy, the government has identified 13 product categories.
- It has permitted 74% FDI in “niche” technologies.
- It plans to develop two defence production corridors.
- It also plans to constitute private sector units and to establish defence innovation hubs.
- Given all these, there are some misconceptions as to the strategies adopted for self-reliance in defence manufacturing.

\n

\n\n

Why is the FDI route less likely to work?

\n\n

- \n
 - There is a mistaken belief that production companies decide on transfer of technology.
 - \n
 - But it is the governments, not manufacturers that decide technology transfer.
 - \n
 - It is based on political and military considerations, geopolitical factors and long term business commitments.
 - \n
 - It is also believed that foreign manufacturers would be attracted by the mega Indian market for their products.
 - \n
 - However, certain cutting-edge technologies are closely guarded.
 - \n
 - Foreign companies will not part with them under any circumstances.
 - \n
 - Also, no government can assure the foreign companies that orders will continue to be placed for all time to come.
 - \n
 - Clearly, the FDI route is no salvation for self-reliance in defence production.
 - \n

\n\n

What is the challenge?

\n\n

- \n
 - Military needs reliable combat/combat support systems to counter threats.
 - \n
 - Technology of the equipment should match, or preferably be better than, the technology of the adversaries.
 - \n
 - The military expects product support, trainers and simulators.
 - \n
 - It also requires mid-life upgrades during the equipment life cycle which typically will be about 20 years.
 - \n
 - Importantly, research, design and development and manufacture are closely

coupled.

\n

- However, in reality, domestic industry lacks the capability, domain knowledge, skill, expertise and experience or capacity.

\n

- It includes adequate trained manpower, specialised test facilities, test ranges, etc.

\n

\n\n

What could be done?

\n\n

\n

- **DRDO** - Industry could be the lead agency for development of new products.
- \n
- However, it may sub-contract development of certain sub-systems to a DRDO laboratory.
- \n
- The industry's managerial expertise and DRDO's technical expertise could be coupled for optimum results.
- \n
- **Funding** - Presently, the MoD funds the DRDO for development of new products.
- \n
- It results in minimal interaction between the armed forces and the developer.
- \n
- Instead, the armed forces should fund these developments from their own budgets.
- \n
- This would be an essential structural change which would give them a sense of "ownership".
- \n
- It will give the armed forces an incentive to

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. monitor the progress at regular intervals
- \n
- ii. participate in inevitable trade-offs between conflictual requirements
- \n
- iii. make-buy decisions

\n

iv. trials at sub-system stage

\n

v. authorise release of funds based on accomplishment of milestones, etc

\n

\n\n

\n

- It would also minimise time and cost overruns and shortfall in specifications.

\n

- The armed forces would need to develop project monitoring skills.

\n

- **Manufacturing ecosystem** - The manufacturing industry is organised into a three/four tiered structure.

\n

- Tier one companies are “integrators”.

\n

- The whole chain forms an “ecosystem” which the DProP 2018 recognises.

\n

- However, it is industry which can create and nurture such ecosystems, not the government.

\n

- To assure long term loyalty and commitment, tier one companies have to necessarily support MSMEs initially.

\n

- The defence production sector would need about 20 tier one companies and several lower tier companies.

\n

- All these in conjunction can make the DProP 2018 a successful one towards self reliance in defence production.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: BusinessLine

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT

Information is Empowering

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative