

Significance of State Finance Commissions

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• The State Finance Commission (SFC) is a unique institution created by the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments (CAs).

\n

• But there is an undermined recognition of the potential of State Finance Commissions.

\n

 $n\n$

What was the mandate?

 $n\$

\n

• The 73rd and 74th CAs rationalised and systematised State/sub-State-level fiscal relations in India.

\n

• It was primarily to rectify growing horizontal imbalances in essential public services delivery.

۱n

• Article 243I of the Constitution mandated the State Governor to constitute a Finance Commission.

۱'n

• This was to be done within one year of the CAs (before April 24, 1994) and thereafter every five years.

 $n\$

What are the concerns?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Reports** - As per the mandate, the fifth generation SFCs ought to have submitted reports by now.

۱n

• But till date, only Assam, HP, TN and Kerala have submitted their fifth SFC reports.

\n

• Many States are yet to cross the third SFC stage.

• The large majority has violated the mandate of the Constitution with impunity.

\n

• Role - The Union Finance Commissions (UFC) has been widely acknowledged as a professional and quasi-judicial body.

\n

• The UFC exhibits seriousness, regularity, acceptance of recommendations and their implementation.

\n

• But these are evidently absent when it comes to State Finance Commissions (SFCs).

\n

- Clearly, honouring the Constitution has become a matter of convenience.
- **Personnel** The SFCs' compositions are largely of serving and/or retired bureaucrats rather than academics.

\n

• The State governments bear a large share of the blame for this.

• Overall, there has been an inadequate appreciation of the significance of SFC as an institution.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

 \bullet This is the case with the Union, States as well as the professional community. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$

Why are SFCs crucial?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Status** - The SFC is undoubtedly modelled on the UFC created under Article 280.

\n

• The UFC is tasked with rectifying vertical and horizontal imbalances at the Union-State level.

۱n

• The SFC has to perform the same with reference to State/sub-State-level institutions.

\n

- \bullet The Constitution treats a local government on a par with a State government. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- \bullet This is especially the case when it comes to sharing of financial resources.
- **Role** SFCs have to promote minimum essential services in rural and urban areas.

\n

• Hence, SFC is the institutional agency to implement the golden rule of cooperative federalism.

\n

- Accordingly, every citizen should be assured minimum public goods irrespective of her choice of residence.
- **Federalism** UFC is mandated to suggest measures to augment the resources of panchayats and municipalities.
- \bullet This is essentially on the basis of the recommendations made by the SFCs. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- This affirms the organic link between local governments and SFCs to fiscal federalism.

\n

- As UFC reduces inter-State disparities, the SFCs reduce intra-State disparities through balanced distribution criteria.
- It is only with both the UFC and the SFCs that Indian federation becomes sustainable and inclusive.

\n

\n\n

What are the limitations for SFCs?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Task** - The task of SFCs to correct horizontal imbalances is extremely burdensome than the UFC.

\n

 \bullet This is because SFCs have to consider nearly 2.5 lakh local governments. $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$ • **Data** - The financial reporting system of the Union and States is well laid down.

۱n

• On the other hand, local governments with no proper budgetary system are in deep disarray.

\n

 \bullet So SFCs face a crucial problem of reliable data.

• **Support** - Several sufficient conditions remain unfulfilled in the case of SFCs.

\n

- \bullet SFCs have not been provided with the necessary environment to play their rightful role in Indian fiscal federalism. \n
- **Perception** Unlike UFCs, the SFCs face attitudinal limitation from within. \n
- SFCs and local governments are seen to be of inferior constitutional status than the UFC.

\n

• This is a prevailing notion among several politicians, policy makers and even experts.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the way forward?

 $n\$

\n

• The federalist development state of India can grow only through a process of evolutionary policy making.

۱'n

• The States are tasked with empowering local governments to discharge constitutional obligations.

\n

• **SFCs** - Unlike the UFC, no SFC can easily ignore the following:

 $n\n$

\n

 $_{\rm i.}$ Articles 243G and 243W - planning "for economic development and social justice"

\n

ii. Article 243ZD - mandates that every State constitute a district planning committee for spatial panning and environmental conservation at the sub-

State level

/

 $n\n$

\n

• **UFC** - UFCs have failed to play a central role in ensuring decentralised governance.

\n

- \bullet No UFC has done its homework in reading and analysing SFC reports. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- The UFC has to present a consolidated account of the reality at the sub-State level.

\n

- It should also highlight which report went wrong, where and how.
- These are essential for the UFC to legitimately guide States and contribute to improving the goals of constitutional amendments.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

