

Special Courts For Trying Politicians

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Supreme Court has recently asked the Centre to frame a central scheme for trying criminal cases involving 'political persons'.

 $n\n$

What are the court's directives?

 $n\n$

\n

- The Centre should frame a central scheme for setting up of **special criminal courts** exclusively to deal with criminal cases involving political persons.
- The directive for a common **central scheme** comes with the Centre's argument that setting up such courts would depend on the availability of funds with the States.
- The court also said that the scheme should provide **details of the funds** required to set up such courts.
- \bullet These courts would function on the lines of the fast track courts. \n
- The Centre should also submit a report card on the status of around 1500 criminal cases pending against MPs and MLAs at the time of the 2014 elections.
- It is also required to report if the **court's earlier order** to complete the trial in all these cases within a year's time had been complied with or not.
- Besides, the SC said that it would **directly interact with the State governments** on issues regarding such special courts.

 \bullet These include appointment of judicial officers, public prosecutors, court staff and other requirements of manpower and infrastructure. \n

 $n\n$

What is the significance?

 $n\n$

\n

- **Need** <u>Criminality and corruption in politics</u> are long pending issues that deteriorate the public interest characteristic of administration.
- In the present criminal justice system it takes years, probably decades, to complete the trial against a politician.
- By this time, he or she would have served as a minister or legislator several times over.

\n

- **Judiciary** The court has earlier made many rulings that make legislators and public officials accountable for corruption.
- In a landmark verdict in 2013, the court removed the statutory protection for convicted legislators from immediate disqualification.
- \bullet In 2014, it directed completion of trials involving elected representatives within a year. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- The latest order marks another milestone in the hilestone in the higher judiciary's continuing campaign to cleanse politics of the stain of crime.

 $n\n$

Is the differential treatment justified?

 $n\n$

\n

\n

- Special courts could be a time-bound and exclusive judicial mechanism to expedite trials.
- Special courts exist at present to try various <u>classes of offences</u> including corruption, terrorism, sexual offences against children and drug trafficking.
- However, creating special courts for a particular <u>class of people</u> such as politicians violates Right to Equality.

\n

- Giving special treatment for offences under the Indian Penal Code solely because the accused is a politician seems discriminatory.
- Nevertheless, if enough courts, judges, prosecutors and investigators are available, the expediency of special courts may not be needed at all.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

