

Special Courts For Trying Politicians - II

Click <u>here</u> for Part I

\n\n

What is the need?

\n\n

∖n

• Criminalisation of politics is an issue that worries the country's administration for long.

\n

- Apart from the political parties, it is also a concern for independent institutions such as the Supreme Court and the Election Commission. \n
- The political class manages to escape the serious criminal cases because of a delayed and repeatedly postponed trial. \n
- Resultantly, many influential politicians facing criminal proceedings continue to engage in contesting elections or holding public office. \n
- Certainly, the criminal tendencies of these politicians get carried on to bureaucracy and the police and more importantly into law making. \n
- It consequently <u>affects every aspect of public governance</u> from tenders and contracts, safety of buildings and roads to postings and transfers, education and healthcare.

\n

\n\n

What are the challenges and possible solutions?

\n\n

∖n

• **Shortage of judges** - Bringing in place a number of special courts would create the demand for judges.

\n

- Shortage of judges can be overcome by <u>reappointment of retired High Court</u> <u>Judges</u> as ad hoc judges, as provided under Article 224A. \n
- **Prosecutors** Appointing <u>prosecutors who are not attached to any political</u> <u>party</u> is another challenge in the working of the special courts.
- A <u>directorate of prosecution</u> headed by a retired senior judge could be created.

∖n

- This directorate can <u>choose prosecutors</u> who in turn could be inspected by the Chief Justice of the High Court. \n
- **Delay** Another threat is that the main trial could be obstructed by <u>interim</u> <u>orders</u>.

∖n

- \bullet Political leaders finding legal counsel and filing multifarious interim applications could delay the process, defeating the whole purpose. \n
- This could be addressed and avoided if there is a provision in place for the $\underline{Chief\,Justices\,to\,supervise\,and\,monitor\,the\,trial\,process}._{\n}$
- Equality There is an argument that creating special courts would breach the law of equality.
- This is not wholly justifiable because, <u>Art 14 permits classification</u> based on criteria and nexus.

\n

• Clearly, MPs and MLAs form a distinct class and their <u>early trial is a</u> <u>democratic must</u>.

\n

- They thus deserve to be given priority treatment similar to their special privileges in many other instances and aspects. \n
- **Besides** all these, the concern with ensuring <u>availability of funds</u>, especially from the States has to be addressed by a <u>central scheme</u>. n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative