



Stubble Burning - An Economic solution

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The practice of burning crop residue is harmful.
- As kharif harvesting season is approaching, the government is contemplating a new incentivise based approach to address this.

\n

\n\n

Why is stubble burned?

\n\n

\n

- There is usually an urgency to plant the next crop.
- So, farmers generally do not have time to let the previous crop's residue (stubble) to decompose in the field to turn into compost.
- The high labour cost makes harvesting the stubble to clear the fields undesirable.
- Burning them in the field itself is the quickest and the cheapest way to get rid of them.
- Most farmers, therefore, prefer to defy the ban on burning and pay the penalty for it - which is usually less than the removal cost.

\n

\n\n

What are its implications?

\n\n

- \n
- About 35 million tonnes of crop waste is burnt in Punjab and Haryana alone.
- \n
- This releases enormous amounts of particulate matter, ash and environment and health injurious gases such as carbon monoxide.
- \n
- These contaminants contribute majorly to air pollution in north India, including the national capital region of Delhi.
- \n
- This happens during the early winter months of November & December which coincides with the kharif harvesting season.
- \n

\n\n

How has the government handled this situation?

\n\n

- \n
- **Monitoring** - Delhi High Court recently ordered concerned governments to use satellite imagery to prevent burning.
- \n
- But despite collection of penalties imposed by governments, preventing burning has not been effective.
- \n
- **Rewards** - Environment Pollution Control Authority - EPCA has conceived a new reward mechanism for not burning stubble.
- \n
- EPCA is trying to get bio-power producers and other waste utilising industries to purchase this crop residue for use as supplementary feedstock.
- \n
- The response from companies seems fairly encouraging with “National Thermal Power Corporation” - NTPC as well as some private entities having expressed willingness.
- \n
- NTPC seems ready to even harvest stubble on its own cost and then pay the farmers an amount for the stuff collected.
- \n
- Hence, instead being a legally barred activity requiring penal action, it is now expected to be viewed as an additional source of income.
- \n

\n\n

What are some noteworthy possibilities for the future?

\n\n

\n

- **Mechanisations** - Machines that can gather the stubble and sow seeds in one go are already available.
- Some machines sow without even the removal of previous residue.
- Such technologies need to be encouraged regardless of their high costs.
- This is possible by facilitating the emergence of a vibrant services sector that provide such equipments to farmers.
- **Targeted Subsidising** - Some states are already offering up to 40% subsidy currently on versatile machinery.
- Such production enhancing incentives for bio-power producers and farm machinery manufacturers could also be considered.
- The Centre should also contribute to this effort along with states as this would help protect both the environment and human health.

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Standard

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative