

Supreme Court's Verdict on SC/ST PoA Act - II

Click herefor Part-I of the issue

 $n\n$

What are the concerns with SC's move?

 $n\n$

\n

 SC claims that the act has not led to an increase in convictions so far and many file cases under this act against higher level bureaucrats to defame them.

\n

- SC also found that 15-16 per cent of atrocity cases meet a premature end at the magistrate's desk without any proper evidence.
- But from Supreme Court's earlier judgements it is evident that SC has failed to establish the prevalence to any degree of abuse of the law.
- It has now taken a single case to make a blanket amendment to the law, which has implications for all atrocity accused and not just public servants.

 $n\$

What are the practical concerns faced by the scheduled castes?

 $n\n$

\n

 Many surveys proves that in various scenarios a victim need to face uphill tasks for registering a complaint and the FIRs were also filed in adverse circumstances.

\n

• In many instances the victims are threatened by the authorities from registering a complaint.

\n

• Even after the FIR is registered, the perpetrators, often in connivance with

the police tamper with evidencetowards weakening the case or coaxing the complainant to withdraw.

\n

- \bullet These attempts at intimidation and active obstruction by perpetrators mar the fate of cases in courts too. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- \bullet Most upper-dominant castes believe that the Atrocities Act grants it immunity from further retribution. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$

 $n\n$

What measures needs to be considered?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet The judiciary needs to be sensitised to the nature and operation of caste in Indian society if it is to uphold this faith. $\$
- Unravelling the truth in acts of willing and dehumanising violence, for which there is a seeming tolerance in society, requires an unsparing law.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

\n

