



## Survey by Conservation Assured | Tiger Standards

### Why in news?

\n\n

The Conservation Assured | Tiger Standards (CA|TS) recently published a report titled 'Safe Havens for Wild Tigers'.

\n\n

### What is the report on?

\n\n

- \n
  - CA|TS report was on the results of a survey of site-based tiger conservation across Asia.
- \n
  - The survey assessed the current management methodologies at 112 sites located in 11 tiger-range countries, including India.
- \n
  - The survey is the first and largest rapid assessment of site-based tiger conservation across Asia.
- \n
  - It has been driven by 11 conservation organisations and tiger-range governments that are part of the CA|TS coalition.
- \n
  - The results show whether or not governments are investing sufficient funds into tiger conservation.
- \n
  - This study also shows the reality of the progress made under the *St Petersburg Declaration*.

\n

\n\n

### What are the key findings?

\n\n

- \n
- Only 13% of the tiger conservation areas met the global standards of an accreditation system.
- \n
- Of the 112 global sites surveyed, only 12.5% was currently able to meet the full CA|TS criteria.
- \n
- Nearly half of the assessed sites reported fairly strong management, although improvements are needed.
- \n
- South Asian and East Asian countries like Bangladesh, Bhutan, China, India, Nepal and Russia had management plans.
- \n
- The remaining 35% did not have management plans and showed relatively weak management.
- \n
- Notably, a majority of these are in Southeast Asia, including Cambodia, Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar and Thailand.
- \n
- Three of the 13 tiger range countries, Laos, Vietnam, and Cambodia have lost all their tigers.
- \n

\n\n

### What are the concerns?

\n\n

- \n
- **Basic needs** remain weak for all tiger conservation areas surveyed.
- \n
- These include enforcement of laws against **poaching**, engaging local **communities** and managing **conflicts** between people and wildlife.
- \n
- **Staff capacity** to patrol the sites effectively and **anti-poaching** enforcement remain weak, despite the serious threat of poaching.
- \n
- Only 16 of 112 sites had intelligence-driven anti-poaching processes in place.
- \n
- Ineffective management of tiger conservation areas had led to the **extinction** of tigers from certain key areas.
- \n

\n\n

### What are the accredited sites?

\n\n

\n

- To date, only three sites have been awarded CA|TS Approved status.

\n

- These are

\n

\n\n

\n

- Lansdowne Forest Division in Uttarakhand, India**

\n

- Chitwan National Park in Nepal

\n

- Sikhote-Alin Nature Reserve in Russia

\n

\n\n

\n


- Lansdowne Forest Division, Uttarakhand, accredited in May 2017, is the third CA|TS accredited site globally and the first in India.

\n

- It is significant since it is a crucial link between the Rajaji and Corbett tiger reserves.

\n

\n\n



\n\n

**What does it call for?**

\n\n

\n

- Low investment from governments in Southeast Asia was one of the reasons for the lack of management.

\n

- Thus, long-term investment in tiger conservation areas is called for.  
\n
- This is absolutely essential to halt and reverse the decline of wild tigers.  
\n
- The tiger-range governments should thus take the lead responsibility.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line, Indian Express**

\n\n

\n\n

## **Quick Facts**

\n\n

## **Conservation Assured | Tiger Standards (CA|TS)**

\n\n

- \n
- CA|TS is an important tool in the achievement of the Convention on Biological Diversity - CBD's Global Aichi Targets.  
\n
- CA|TS is a management tool which sets basic criteria such as the minimum standards for effective management of tiger conservation reserves.  
\n
- It also applies to other conservation reserves and protected areas which have tiger populations.  
\n
- It contributes to the implementation of the Programme of Work on Protected Areas.  
\n
- The CA|TS team has been working closely with the IUCN.  
\n

\n\n

## **St Petersburg Declaration**

\n\n

- \n
- In November 2010, the first “Tiger Summit” in St Petersburg, Russia, endorsed a Global Tiger Recovery Programme.
- \n
- The programme aimed at reversing the rapid decline of tigers, and doubling their numbers by 2022.
- \n
- India was one of the 13 tiger range countries that participated in the gathering.
- \n
- The leaders committed to drawing up action plans to -
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- i. strengthen the tiger reserves
- \n
- ii. crack down on poachers
- \n
- iii. provide financial assistance to maintain a thriving tiger population
- \n

\n\n

## **Tiger Range Countries**

\n\n

- \n
- The Tiger Range Countries (TRC) are the countries where tigers still roam free i.e. in the wild.
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- The 13 tiger range countries are Bangladesh, Bhutan, Cambodia, China, India, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Nepal, Russia, Thailand and Vietnam.
- \n
- Sometimes North Korea is also included.
- \n
- While there have been no recent tigers sightings in North-Korea, it is the only country listed which has not ratified CITES.
- \n

\n



# IAS PARLIAMENT

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative