

Takeaways from the Bonn Conference - CoP 23 - II

Click here for Part I

 $n\n$

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

Despite some notable positive outcomes, the CoP 23 in Bonn, Germany has left unaddressed certain key areas of contention including financial support, loss and damage, and fossil fuel use.

 $n\$

What are the continuing contentions?

 $n\n$

\n

\n

- Loss and Damage In Warsaw, Poland, COP-19, 2013 established the Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and Damage.
- This was in relation with the key obligations to be fulfilled by big emitters for the economic and non-economic losses of climate change.
- It intended at addressing the destruction likely from climate change, including extreme events (such as severe storms) and slow-onset events (such as sea-level rise).
- The Paris Agreement also recognises loss and damage and calls for enhanced action and support from the parties.
- However, in CoP 23, <u>loss and damage was not included in the agenda for the Paris rule book.</u>
- The rule book intends to provide operational guidance for fulfilling the ambition of the Paris Agreement and providing clarity on countries' efforts to

reach the global goal.

\n

- It has to be finalised by 2018, according to the Paris Agreement's timeline.
- There are no funds yet on loss and damage and the discussion on this has been postponed to 2018.

۱n

• This was rightly a big bone of contention with poor and developing economies.

\n

• **Finance and Technology** - Another aspect of support from rich countries is about providing finance, technology, and building capacity for poorer countries.

\n

- \bullet This is intended both to protect themselves from the effects of climate change and to help them move along a low-carbon pathway. \n
- Without these support means for implementation, the targets set by each country in Paris are hard to achieve.
- The earlier promise of \$100 billion each year by 2020 into the Green Climate Fund has also not seen much inflow to meet the goal.
- Sadly, COP-23 did not result in any substantial agreements on financial support.

\n

- Important decisions on the key issue of finance were moved forward to be discussed at the next meeting to be held in Katowice, Poland in 2018.
- **Emissions** The science on climate change has not been given focus in CoP 23.

\n

- Greenhouse gas emissions appeared to have stabilised for the past few years, probably due to some economic reasons.
- \bullet However, emissions rose by 2 % in 2017 perhaps due to additional electricity drawn from coal power plants in China. \n
- The key question of when coal will be phased out globally has gone unaddressed in the meeting.
- Clearly, greater focus on bringing down fossil fuels use is needed, for the Paris Agreement to be successful.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

