

Tata's hard choice

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

Tata Steel's recent merger is a proof of hard commercial considerations in overseas acquisitions.

 $n\n$

What is the merger about?

 $n\n$

۱n

- Tata Steel had announced its tentative plans to merge their European steel operations in a 50:50 joint venture.
- \bullet Tata Steel and Germany's ThyssenKrupp AG have planned for this merger. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- This merger will emerge as the second largest steel producer in the high value-added European market.
- \bullet These companies clarified that this merger is driven more by "industrial and strategic logic" than the need for financial engineering or job cuts. \n

 $n\n$

What is the need for the merger?

 $n\n$

\n

- This merger is more about reducing exposure to the barely-growing and over-supplied European market.
- Tata Steel is keen to free up the capital from its overseas plants in order to double its Indian capacity.

- Global operations have become a millstone around Tata Steel's neck.
- ThyssenKrupp has been progressively shedding its commodity businesses to focus on high-margin capital goods.
- This merger seems to be an intermediary step to a complete exit.

 $n\n$

What are the challenges in this merger?

 $n\n$

\n

- The merger may have a rough passage with external stakeholders.
- \bullet The labour unions and the governments of UK and Germany are already looking to ring-fence their interests. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Financial considerations are at play in this merger, with both partners looking to de-leverage their balance sheets.
- Chinese export threat is continuing to loom large.
- \bullet It is unclear that how this joint venture would pay off without pricing sacrifices, cost and job cuts. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Line

\n

