

Taxation of Angel Investments

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

The angel tax provisions for domestic start-ups are seriously flawed and need to be done away with.

 $n\n$

What was the proposed measure?

 $n\n$

۱n

- \bullet An angel investor is an affluent individual who provides capital for a business start-up, usually in exchange for convertible debt or ownership equity. \n
- \bullet However, there were instances of individuals using unlisted companies to receive angel investments as black money and converting them into white. \n
- Thus, a taxation on angel investments had been introduced in the 2012 budget as an anti-money laundering provision.
- The provision states that angel tax will be applicable, when any closely-held company issues shares to domestic investors at a price <u>higher than its fair market value</u>.

\n

• A closely held corporation is any company that has only a limited number of shareholders.

۱n

- This excess amount is to be considered as "income from other sources" and should be taxed at 30% under Section 56 (II) of the Income Tax Act. \n
- Calculating Fair market value It is to be determined only through book value or discounted cash flow methods, failing which an assessing officer will intervene for assessment.

• A company's <u>book value</u> is its total assets minus intangible assets and liabilities.

\n

• <u>Discounted cash flow</u> (DCF) is a valuation method used to estimate the value of an investment based on its future cash flows.

 $n\$

What are the concerns?

 $n\n$

۱n

• Accounting income arises only when the business uses this capital to create a product which yields a profit.

\n

• Thus, treating the entire equity capital received by a business as taxable income is plainly wrong.

\n

- \bullet The valuation of a business is an issue best left to a venture and its investors. $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{\text{Nn}}}}$
- Expecting new-age start-ups to use "book value" methods and seek the intervention of an assessing officer every time they raise funds is draconian and opens the doors to misuse.
- Also, taxing domestic investors will make home-grown start-ups to offshore their holding company and source capital through circuitous routes to subvert this rule.

\n

 $n\n$

What was the response from the government?

 $n\n$

\n

- The Central Board of Direct Tax (CBDT) has recently granted some relief from this tax for start-ups that comply with conditions notified by the DIPP.
- These qualifying conditions have been stipulated for both the start-up and angel investors.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

1. For the start-up: Maximum cap of paid up share capital and share premium

of Rs.10 crores.

۱n

2. <u>For angel investors</u>: Minimum average returned income of Rs.25 lakhs for the preceding three financial years (or) minimum net worth of Rs.2 crores as on the last date of the preceding financial year.

 $n\n$

\n

- In order to avail the exemption, a start-up would first need recognition as an eligible start-up (approved by DIPP).
- This should be followed by a specific application to the Inter-Ministerial Board of Certification (IMB) to issue shares without triggering the angel tax provisions.

• Also, the application needs to be accompanied by a fair valuation certificate from a merchant banker.

\n

\n

 $n\n$

What are the challenges in availing exemptions?

 $n\n$

\n

• Capital - The capital threshold of Rs.10 crores is likely to benefit only a small number of start-ups.

\n

• This will adversely impact those start-ups that are involved in capital intensive businesses.

\n

• **Small investments** - Many small investors look to undertake their investment plans in individual capacity without being a part of any angel network fund.

\n

• Thus, the minimum threshold criteria for angel investors can be deterring for these small investors.

\n

- Also, the requirement of valuation by a merchant banker also escalates the cost for start-ups and angel investors.
- Administrative hurdles Start-ups would have to go through the administrative hurdle and <u>multi-stage approval process</u> required for availing exemption from the angel tax (DIPP registration followed by IMB approval).

\n

- **Past investments** The exemption notification eases the nerves of only future investors looking to invest in certified start-ups.
- However, unregistered start-ups, who have already raised angel investment, may continue to find themselves in the crossfire with the income tax authorities.

\n

• This will result in pro-longed disputes and litigation with respect to their investments.

\n

 $n\n$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

\n

- India relies so heavily on its start-up ecosystem to innovate, create jobs and drive the next leg of economic growth.
- Thus, a tax on angel investments existing itself is a cause of concern.
- The Centre has promised that angel tax demands would not be raised on genuine start-ups and coercive measures would be avoided.
- \bullet It has also appointed an expert committee to review these rules. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard, Livemint

\n

