



Trade Detente with U.S

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The U.S. has initiated a global trade war by steeply raising tariffs.
- But in the midst of this tense context, a bilateral deal has been reached between the U.S. and Mexico, which offers some hope for reducing tensions.

\n

\n\n

What is the context of the deal?

\n\n

\n

- NAFTA deal was tripartite agreement between “Canada, U.S. and Mexico” that was signed in 1994 and was operational till recently.
- President Trump had called for renegotiating the terms of the deal, which he felt was discriminatory to the U.S. and causing loss of business.
- In June 2018, Mr. Trump had stated that he was inclined to sign two different agreements with Mexico and Canada as a replacement to NAFTA.
- U.S. and Mexico recently reached a bilateral trade agreement that will replace the decades-old North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).
- In this context, with the deal with Mexico over, the U.S. has now invited Canada to join talks for a renegotiation of trade terms.

\n

\n\n

What are the specifics of the current deal?

\n\n

- \n
- This has come up after Mexico agreed to some concessions demanded by the Donald Trump administration in the U.S.
- \n
- According to the new agreement, 75% of all automobile content must be made regionally, which is higher than the current level of 62.5%.
- \n
- Further, the agreement mandates that 40-45% of such content must be manufactured using labour that costs at least \$16 an hour.
- \n
- U.S. hopes that this will discourage manufacturers from moving their facilities to Mexico, where labour is available at rates lower than in the U.S.
- \n
- Notably, U.S. stocks rallied after news of the deal, with the Nasdaq Composite index moving above the 8,000 level for the first time ever.
- \n

\n\n

What are the larger implications?

\n\n

- \n
- The market reaction was probably a sign of relief, amid hopes that tit-for-tat tariff wars between the U.S. and its trade allies could now draw to a close.
- \n
- This is also significant as Mexico had earlier joined hands with other economies such as Canada, China and EU to impose retaliatory tariffs on U.S.
- \n
- Mexico's decision could set an example for other countries which have resorted to retaliatory tariffs to deal with Mr. Trump's aggressive trade war.
- \n
- Notably, China has been at the forefront of this approach, slapping tariffs on several U.S. goods, together worth billions of dollars.
- \n

\n\n

What is the way ahead?

\n\n

- \n
- Mr. Trump's protectionist trade policy, including the current deal which increases restrictions on cross-border trade, is bad for the global economy.
- \n

- However, the best way to win the trade war against the U.S. may simply be to accept “defeat” by refusing to double down on retaliatory tariffs.
\n
- This is born out of the reason that retaliatory tariffs can only cause further harm to the world economy by increasing the burden of taxes.
\n
- This will disproportionately harm the private sector, which is crucial to spur growth and create jobs in the economy.
\n
- Further, to repeat Mr. Trump’s mistake of depriving domestic consumers of access to useful foreign goods, and this isn’t desirable.
\n
- While protecting the domestic businesses is indeed one ground on which countries may impose tariffs, it needs to be judiciously used.
\n
- The right response to Mr. Trump’s trade war will be to abstain from any mutually destructive tit-for-tat tariff regimes, while pressing for better deals.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative