Tussle between the regulators

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- TRAI came up with a consultation paper on "Regulatory principles of tariff assessment" which has questions on delineating relevant markets, assessing dominance, and predatory pricing.
- Following this, the chairman of CCI has argued that the CCI is better placed to look into matters related to predatory pricing.

 $n\n$

How is predatory pricing usually handled?

 $n\n$

\n

- Predatory pricing is a strategy where the dominant market player prices its products or services below costs to undercut its rival.
- Recent offers by Reliance Jio have led to allegations of predatory pricing.
- Usually, the **ex-ante competition matters**i.eanticipated issues based on forecasts fell in the domain of **TRAI**.
- The **ex-post matters** i.e issues based on actual results such as predatory pricing fell in domain of **CCI**.
- Predatory pricing is a highly specialized field of competition assessment.

 $n\n$

Why does the existing mechanism need change?

\n

• In the present mandate, only a dominant position holder can be punished for engaging in predation.

\n

• The dominant position is determined based on market share.

• Reliance Jiois not in a dominant position.

\n

• Hence the recent complaints before CCI bore no results, in spite of Reliance Jio using unfair competition measures in its "promotional offer" which went on for several months.

\n

• Thus, the way CCI understands and acts against predatory pricing in the present scheme of legislative mandate is bound to leave an enforcement gap.

 $n\n$

What is the way out?

 $n\n$

\n

• These enforcement gaps can be filled by TRAI, which can fix ex-ante to ensure fair competition.

\n

• Through this TRAI can ensure fair competition through means other than acting against mandated predatory pricing.

\n

• TRAI could also limit the duration of promotional offers, which include below cost pricing, so that a level playing field is ensured for all market players.

• It could increase the interconnect usage charges (IUC) to a point where below cost pricing becomes unsustainable.

• TRAI could be given the mandate to specify the duration and features of promotional offers.

۱n

• The highly technical nature of this telecom sector issue has necessitated that CCI, a competition regulator consults with TRAI, a sector-specific regulator to ensure consumer welfare.

\n

 $n\n$

Quick Facts

 $n\n$

TRAI

 $n\$

Telecom Regulatory authority of India, established in 1997, by an Act of Parliament is to regulate telecom services and tariffs in India.

 $n\n$

CCI

 $n\n$

Competition Commission of India is a statutory body of the Government of India responsible for enforcing The Competition Act, 2002, to prevent activities that have an adverse effect on competition among companies in India.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Livemint

\n

