

### **UN Framework Convention on Climate Change Conference**

#### Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

The conference of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change recently ended in Bangkok.

 $n\n$ 

#### What were the outcomes of the meet?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The purpose of the meet was to draft a rulebook for the Paris Agreement ahead of a crucial international conference in Poland in December.
- But it ran into difficulties over the issue of raising funds to help poorer nations.

\n

- Some developed countries led by the U.S. are unwilling to commit to sound rules on raising climate finance.
- Earlier, U.S. under the Trump administration, has rejected the Paris agreement in which the rich countries pledged to raise \$100 billion a year by 2020 to help developing countries reduce their greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

\n

 $n\n$ 

# Why it is unfair on the part of developed countries?

 $n\$ 

\n

• Historical the developed countries have contributed heavily to the accumulated CO2 burden.

\n

- It now measures at about 410 parts per million(ppm) of CO2 in the atmosphere, up from 280 ppm before the industrial revolution.
- If scientific estimates are correct, the damage already done to the West Antarctic Ice Sheet is set to raise sea levels.
- A 2° Celsius rise in global temperature will also destabilise the Greenland Ice Sheet.

\n

- $\bullet$  This will also drive more mass migrations of people on account of failed agriculture and the associated conflicts.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Hence the developed countries are ignoring their historical responsibility.
- Obstructing the transition to a carbon-neutral pathway is also short-sighted, simply because the losses caused by weather events are proving severely detrimental to all economies.

\n

• Additionally, walking out of developed countries have created a financial and leadership vacuum.

\n

• This was followed by other developed countries that are unwilling to create sustainable financial commitment to realise the objectives of Paris agreement.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### What are the responsibilities of India and China in this regard?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- There is international pressure on China and India to cut GHG emissions.
- $\bullet$  Both countries have committed themselves to a cleaner growth path.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- India reported an annual CO2 equivalent emissions of 2.136 billion tonnes in 2010 to the UNFCCC two years ago.
- $\bullet$  Recent estimates show that the GHG emissions intensity of its GDP has declined by 12% for the 2005-2010 period.  $\$
- China has suspended construction of 103 new coal-fired power plants last year, and announced plans to invest more than \$360 billion into renewable

energy by the end of the decade.

۱n

- Both have the responsibility of climate leadership in the developing world and Innovative instruments (climate bond, social impact bond, catastrophic risk insurance, etc.,) could be leveraged to realise a "Green Economy".
- It needs to be accompanied by a supportive framework in the form of a rulebook that:

\n

• Binds the developed countries to their funding pledges.

\n

• Provides support for capacity building.

۱n

- Transfer of green technologies on liberal terms.
- Thus the responsibility lies in the domain of both developed and developing countries to go beyond expediency and take the actions needed to avert longterm catastrophe.

\n

 $n\n$ 

#### **Source: The Hindu**

 $n\$ 

#### **Quick Facts**

 $n\n$ 

## **India's Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC)**

 $n\n$ 

۱'n

• To reduce the emissions intensity of its GDP by 33 to 35 per cent by 2030 from 2005 level.

۱'n

- To achieve about 40 per cent cumulative electric power installed capacity from non-fossil fuel based energy resources by 2030.
- To create an additional carbon sink of 2.5 to 3 billion tonnes of  $CO_2$  equivalent through additional forest and tree cover by 2030.

\n

