



## Understanding the Investment Decline

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- There is a decline in overall investment rate between 2011-12 and 2016-17 in India.

\n

- The household, and not corporate, sector is said to be responsible for the decline.

\n

\n\n

### How important is investment?

\n\n

\n

- Growth can occur with better utilisation of existing capacity or with new investment.

\n

- However, over the medium to long term, the key driver of growth is investment.

\n

- Without a high investment rate, it is difficult to sustain a high growth rate.

\n

\n\n

### How has income growth been?

\n\n

\n

- Over the last 4 years, the growth rate has been maintained at a reasonable level.

\n

\n\n

- \n
- The average growth rate of GDP has been 7.3%.
- \n
- However, the declining trend is a cause of concern.
- \n
- The growth rate of GDP in 2015-16 was 8.2%.
- \n
- It came down to 7.1% in the following year and 6.7% for the just ended year.
- \n

\n\n

### How has savings been?

\n\n

- \n
- The major source of funding investment is domestic savings.
- \n
- The gross domestic savings rate has fallen in the last six years.
- \n
- It has declined from around 34% of GDP in 2011-12 to around 30% in 2016-17.
- \n
- The steepest decline in savings has been with respect to the household sector.
- \n
- Within this, both financial savings and that in physical assets have declined sharply.
- \n
- The private corporate sector savings have actually increased by almost 2.5 percentage points.
- \n
- The savings rate of the public sector including general government shows no change.
- \n

\n\n

### How has the investment trend been?

\n\n

- \n
- **Trend** - The investment rate also registers a disturbing decline.
- \n
- In 2011-12, the gross fixed capital formation rate was around 34% of GDP.
- \n

- By 2016-17, it had come down to around 28%.  
\n
- Understanding the behaviour of different components of fixed capital formation is crucial to address the declining trend.  
\n
- **Components** - Investment (gross capital formation) includes three elements:  
\n

\n\n

- \n
- i. gross fixed capital formation  
\n
- ii. change in stocks  
\n
- iii. valuables (e.g. gold)  
\n

\n\n

- \n
- The most important component is gross fixed capital formation.  
\n
- It refers to the capital expenditures on machinery and equipment and dwellings.  
\n
- The gross fixed capital formation rate fell over the period from 2011-12 to 2016-17.  
\n
- However, Gross fixed capital formation stayed at a little above 7% of GDP.  
\n
- Public sector including general government showed no change.  
\n
- Private corporate sector investment showed in fact a rise.  
\n
- Hence, the only sector that appears to have been responsible for the decline in investment is households.  
\n
- Household sector's fixed capital formation rate has shown a steep decline.  
\n
- It has declined from around 15% to nearly 9% over the six-year period.  
\n

\n\n

**Why is the trend a cause for concern?**

\n\n

\n

- **Base Year** - The base year for these indicators had been revised from 2004-05 to 2011-12.

\n

- Notably, the investment ratios according to the new series with base show a much higher rate as compared to the old series.

\n

- E.g. the gross fixed capital formation rate for 2011-12 was 31.8% according to the older series.

\n

- For the same year, it is 34.3% according to the new series.

\n

- But despite the new series, the investment rate has been declining since 2011-12.

\n

- **Cause** - The focus for the slowdown in growth has been on weak investment demand by the corporate sector.

\n

- There has been increased attention to the number of stalled projects.

\n

- This has been interpreted as a failure of the corporate sector to make investment.

\n

- But the decline in gross fixed capital formation rate has actually been caused by the household sector rather the corporate sector.

\n

\n\n

## Why is the household sector a cause?

\n\n

\n

- Household sector's savings in physical assets, which is the same as investment, is normally in the form of housing.

\n

- But it is difficult to attribute the sharp decline only to the reduction in investment in housing.

\n

- Notably, the term 'households' includes not only individual households but also non-corporate business.

\n

- By definition what is not government and private corporate comes under the category of households.

\n

- Households' investment in machinery and equipment came down in 2012-13 to 2015-16 period.  
\n
- Therefore, in part small businesses have suffered more and invested less.  
\n
- Within the business sector, the non-corporate business seems to have borne a higher burden.  
\n
- Thus, the fall in investment rate as an explanation for the slowdown in growth is reasonable.  
\n
- But clearly, the corporate investment, as believed, is not the cause.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*  
 A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative