



Upending Principles of Natural Justice

Why in news?

\n\n

The Election Commission's proposal to have the Representation of People Act (RPA) amended to disqualify legislators charge-sheeted for bribing voters is well-intentioned but bad in principle.

\n\n

What happened?

\n\n

\n

- The EC has drawn its recommendation from a proposal the Law Commission mooted in 2014.

\n

- It attempts to turn the dictum of any justice system on its head, i.e., that a person is innocent until proven guilty.

\n

- The Commission had called for **including a new section in the RPA** to expand the ambit of the disqualification provision to include a person against whom **"a charge has been framed by a competent court** for an offence punishable by at least five years imprisonment" for a period of six years.

\n

- Or "till the date of quashing of charge or acquittal, whichever is earlier".

\n

- The EC has also sought to make **bribery a cognisable offence** under the CrPC, which would bestow on the police the authority to arrest an accused without a warrant.

\n

- These are draconian measures, which violate **the principles of natural justice**.

\n

\n\n

What is the principles of natural justice?

\n\n

\n

- Natural justice implies fairness, reasonableness, equity and equality.
- Natural justice is the concept of common law and it is the common-law world counterpart of the American 'procedural due process'.
- In India, the principles of natural justice are **firmly grounded in Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution**.
- Principles of natural justice are attracted whenever a person suffers a civil consequence or a prejudice is caused to him in any administrative action.
- These two are the basic pillars of the Principles of Natural Justice. No system of law can survive without these two basic pillars.
 - Nemo in propria causa judex, esse debet - 'No one should be made a judge in his own case, or **the rule against bias**.'
 - Audi alteram partem - 'Hear the other party, or **the rule of fair hearing**, or the rule that no one should be condemned unheard.'

\n

\n

\n

\n\n

What is the problem with the move?

\n\n

\n

- The call for such a drastic measure evidently stems from the failure to curb corruption in elections.
- It is said that the RPA provisions have failed to act as a deterrent against electoral malpractices since trials extend for years and rarely result in convictions.
- The RPA, indeed, has a provision to disqualify and **bar a legislator if convicted for poll graft**. However, the keyword here is **conviction**.
- It is true that, democracy needs to be cleansed of electoral malpractices, but that must be done by the patient labour of improving processes and

\n

reforming institutions.

\n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- The way out is to **reform the judicial process** and ensure early and time-bound trial and closure in cases.

\n

- Surely, there must be effective deterrence to prevent the subversion of due process, but the onus for ensuring that must be on institutions.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT

Information is Empowering

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative