



Vulnerability due to Depreciation

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The current rupee depreciation is likely to contribute to the vulnerability of the economy.
- A look at the causes (policy shortfalls) and the consequences of it becomes essential.

\n

\n\n

What are the recent developments?

\n\n

\n

- Foreign investors are exiting from India's financial markets.
- This is triggered by the end of quantitative easing in the US and Europe.
- It is particularly by the hikes in policy interest rates in the US, making investments attractive.
- This has resulted in a sharp depreciation of the rupee relative to the dollar.

\n

\n\n

What led to this?

\n\n

\n

- **Crisis** - The US and Europe infused liquidity after the 2008 financial crisis.

\n

\n\n

- \n
- Credit at near zero interest rates was available to banks and financial agents.
- \n
- This was to lend or invest at low rates to record profits.
- \n
- Thereby it facilitated resolving their balance sheet hit by the crisis.
- \n
- It flooded markets with large volumes of cheap money in the process.
- \n
- **Carry trade** - Under this, banks, financial institutions and investors borrowed cheap in the dollar market.
- \n
- But they invested in assets denominated in other currencies for higher returns.
- \n
- The rush of funds shored up these currencies and even resulted in appreciation.
- \n
- India was a country that benefited from flows of this kind.
- \n
- India's corporate sector utilised the cheap credit from foreign financial firms.
- \n
- **Impact** - This has resulted in a high proportion of outstanding foreign bank claims.
- \n
- India is now paying the price for this legacy of debt.
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- Clearly, depreciation raises the rupee costs of imports.
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- It also increases the rupee equivalent of payments made to service foreign debt.
- \n

\n\n

How has the bond market been?

\n\n

- \n
- The channels through which credit has been flowing into the country has

changed.

\n

- Clearly, the share of bank loans and deposits has come down from 2013 to 2017.

\n

- While, the share of debt securities has increased in the same period.

\n

- The **corporate bond market** which was inactive for long had turned active in recent years.

\n

- Financial institutions in the carry trade, experimented with corporate bonds.

\n

- This suited Indian corporates as bond issues are likely to have less intensive scrutiny.

\n

- However, exit is much easier for bond investors.

\n

- They can choose to book profits or cut losses and leave.

\n

- But this results in stress on the balance of payments and the rupee.

\n

\n\n

What are the policy shortfalls?

\n\n

\n

- The current vulnerability could have been prevented by policy decisions.

\n

- The corporates should have not been encouraged to exploit that supply-side push.

\n

- But, the ceilings on external commercial borrowing have been relaxed hugely over the liberalisation years.

\n

- Foreign investors have been given easy access to the country's debt markets.

\n

\n\n

How do these make India vulnerable?

\n\n

\n

- The debt exposure allowed by the above has now created two kinds of

vulnerabilities.

\n

- Firstly, it can lead to **rupee depreciation** when investors choose to exit.
- This intensifies any depreciation resulting from other factors.
- Secondly, depreciation increases the rupee costs of **servicing foreign debt**.
- It can lead to losses and push firms to default on both domestic and foreign debt.
- Vulnerability that legacy debt creates arises from the volume of exposure.
- But besides, it also arises from its likely concentration in a few firms.
- **How** - Firm reputation influences access to foreign credit markets and institutions.
- It is thus likely that foreign debt would be concentrated in a small number of firms.
- This factor carries the enhanced debt-servicing burden for these few companies due to rupee depreciation.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: BusinessLine

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative