



## Wider Concerns of Sec 377

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- On a petition relating to the LGBTQI community, the Supreme Court's stance seems to be narrow.
- It calls for expanding the SC's considerations to acknowledge the wider concerns of Sec 377.

\n

\n\n

### What is the recent development?

\n\n

\n

- The Supreme Court is hearing a curative petition against one of its 2013 judgment.
- The 2013 SC judgment reversed a 2009 judgment of the Delhi High Court.
- The Delhi HC judgement made Sec 377 of the Indian Penal Code invalid.
- Section 377 criminalises sexual activities "against the order of nature", including homosexual activities.
- This significantly affects India's LGBTQI community.

\n

\n\n

### What is SC's stance?

\n\n

\n

- The 2013 SC judgement was one of the lowest moments for human rights in India.  
\n
- Supreme Court has now been supportive to the LGBTQI rights in its initial observations.  
\n
- However, it has said that it would concern itself only with the question of the validity of Sec 377.  
\n
- It would also examine the correctness of the Supreme Court's 2013 judgment.  
\n

\n\n

### **What are the concerns?**

\n\n

- \n
- The Supreme Court's approach appears to be a limited one.  
\n
- As, it tries to interpret a broader human rights and justice issue as a matter of pure constitutional validity.  
\n
- The law is not abstract, and it is important to consider if the law impacts the lived experiences of human beings.  
\n
- It thus calls for SC to also concern itself with the impact of Sec 377 on the lives of the LGBTQI community.  
\n

\n\n

### **What is the need?**

\n\n

- \n
- Failure to use a rights-based approach has serious social repercussions.  
\n
- Evidently, suicide rates are higher among sexual minorities.  
\n
- Lack of rights and protections promotes a homophobic culture.  
\n
- It overemphasises and empowers patriarchy and masculinity.  
\n
- Widespread homophobia leads gay men and women to create sub-cultures of

self-hate, internalised homophobia, and oppression.

\n

- Lack of social acceptance and legal rights leads to abuse, violence, isolation, and mental illness.

\n

- Importantly, a rights-based framework is essential to India's quest for social and economic development.

\n

\n\n

## **What should be done?**

\n\n

\n

- SC should take precedence from its own 2014 judgment that recognises transgender community as a third gender category.

\n

- It has also recently acknowledged "sexual orientation" an essential attribute of "identity" and "privacy".

\n

- It should thus consider the issue of broader rights for sexual minorities.

\n

- This should include issues such as right to form partnerships, inheritance, employment equality, and so on.

\n

- India's sexual minorities need not only decriminalisation but rights and protections from gender-identity-based discrimination.

\n

- Clearly, the Court has to expand its ambit to positively affect the lives of millions of Indians.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express**

\n



# IAS PARLIAMENT

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative