

# **World Bank Report on Stunting**

# Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

World Bank recently released a report on the prevalence and effects of stunting.

 $n\n$ 

# What are the highlights?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- A child is stunted if the height-for-age ratio is not proportionate.
- **Effects** Children with stunted growth are more prone to enduring adverse outcomes later in life.

\n

- They suffer from impaired brain development.
- This leads to lower cognitive and socio-emotional skills, and lower levels of educational attainment.
- India The World Bank analysed 140 countries for workers who were stunted as children.

\n

• Of these, only Afghanistan (67%) and Bangladesh (73%) surpassed India's proportion (66%).

\n

- This is specifically because of lack of skills due to stunting in childhood.
- $\bullet$  This is one of the highest proportions worldwide in such reductions in per capita income.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{\text{Nn}}}}$
- Others The average reduction for South Asia was 10% and North America

2%.

\n

• Middle East and North Africa do better, with a reduction of 4%.

• This is better compared to Europe and Central Asia with a reduction of 5%.

• The economic impact of stunting was not limited to Asia and Africa.

• Stunting has affected almost all continents in varying amounts.

• But Indians lost more income than people, on average, from Sub-Saharan African countries.

\n

• Notably, countries poorer than India have handled stunting better.

• E.g. Senegal, with a per capita GDP of half as that of India's, was able to reduce stunting in its children by half over 19 years to 2012.

• Peru, too, demonstrated a remarkable decline in its childhood stunting characteristics.

\n

 $\bullet$  This was largely due to its nutrition, health and sanitation interventions.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$ 

 $n\n$ 

\n

• **Returns** - The World Bank report calculated that the returns on a national nutrition package outweigh the costs.

• This is in reference to interventions focussed mainly on maternal and neonatal health.

\n

- But given the time lag between childhood and joining workforce, the effects begin to show only 15 years after implementation.
- After the initial 15 years, the cost remains static and the benefits continue to increase as more of the workforce begins to benefit.
- The average rate of return predicted for the programme was 17%.
- But for India the returns were forecast at 23%.

 $n\n$ 

#### What is the current scenario?

\n

\n

- The percentage of childhood stunting in India's current working-age population does not reflect the percentage of children currently stunted.
- $\bullet$  This is given the gap between childhood and joining the workforce.
- Notably, the current number of stunted under-five children in India has reduced drastically.
- $\bullet$  Over 26 years to 2014, the percentage of stunted Indian under-five children has reduced from around 62% to 38%. \n

 $n\n$ 

### What are the causes for India's state?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Stunting is affected by a variety of socio-economic determinants.
- More than the economic development state, it reflects the treatment of women and children.

\n

- The related causes for stunting lie in social inequity with women's status and health, household wealth, access to services, etc.
- \n
- India clearly has inadequacies in women's well-being and efforts to reduce poverty.

\n

• The two most influential deciding factors are women's BMI and women's education.

\n

• These factors explained the difference in child stunting between highly sensitive and less sensitive districts.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### What lies ahead?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

• In India, Integrated Child Development Services, PDS and mid-day meal

schemes address children's nutrition.

- The Swachh Bharat Abhiyan and the National Rural Water Drinking Programme address sanitation needs.
- Despite these, improving women's well-being remains a challenge for policy.
- $\bullet$  A nutrition-specific national programme could significantly tackle stunting.  $\$
- The National Nutrition Mission (POSHAN Abhiyan) should thus be promoted to address nutritional gaps for women.
- $\bullet$  There has to be a specific focus in the lower income brackets if stunting is to be truly eradicated.  $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Business Standard, Financial Express** 

\n

