WTO - Public Stockholding

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The G33, including India, has proposed for an amendment in the Agreement on Agriculture of the WTO, in regard to public stockholding.

 $n\n$

What is the problem in this regard?

 $n\n$

۱n

- Public stockholding (PSH) is a policy tool used by governments to procure, stockpile and distribute food when needed. Ex: MSP scheme.
- Governments purchasing at prices higher than market prices are considered to be subsidising their farmers, under WTO rules.
- Current rules suggest a fixed subsidy of 10% for food procurement from farmers to feed the poor.
- Also, the methodology for subsidy calculation is based on a price index of 1986-88, and that does not account for inflation.
- Currently, public distribution programmes of developing countries are included under trade-distorting Amber Box measures that attracts reduction commitments.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the demand?

 $n\n$

\n

 The G33 countries are thus demanding that these programmes for food security purposes be exempted from subsidy reduction commitments of WTO.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

- It suggested incorporating a new annexure to categorise foodgrains procured specifically for public distribution purposes.
- \bullet It demanded that PSH programmes be included in the list of Green Box subsidies that are exempted from reduction commitments. $\$
- But there is opposition from the US, the EU, Australia, Canada, Brazil, among others to provide unlimited market price support under the banner of 'public stockholding for food security'.

 $n\n$

What lies before India?

 $n\n$

\n

• World Trade Organization's 11th ministerial meeting is planned in the year end in Buenos Aires.

\n

- \bullet India, a major player in the G-33 group of developing countries, has repeatedly demanded a permanent solution for public stockholding issue. \n
- India has already agreed to WTO's Trade Facilitation Agreement on the promise that the public stockholding issue be resolved.
- India cannot afford to make another compromise in the coming meet, without a permanent solution to the issue.

\n\n

 $n\n$

Source: Economic Times, BusinessLine

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

\n

