

1/22 Do you think that executive must take the lead in fring
-2 with judiciary and legislature harmoniously? comment.

The recent tussle between executive and judiciary in refereeing names to judges of higher judiciary is at the forefront. The executive must act as a bridge between legislature and executive.

current status of judiciary

There are total 4.8 crore cases pending before courts. The sanctioned judicial strength is 25,000 and 5000 vacancies are yet to be filled. Since independence, there has been improvement in public services such as roads, health education but not in judiciary.

NITI Aayog's Strategy Document on 75 years of independence states that: Finance ministry stated that it takes on average almost 20 years for property related disputes and 324 years to clear all the backlog cases.

Towards harmony by the executives

① Judicial strength has to be increased
the present Judge population ratio is
21: 1 million, the supreme court wants 50: 1 million
The executive can fast-track judicial appointments.

② Judicial pendency is costing 1.5% of GDP
to the economy and increases the cost of
doing business. Greater participation of folk
advisors and pro-bono legal services can
limit pendency.

③ The investigative and enforcement agencies
central and state governments form majority
of litigants. The agencies must be efficient and
follow laws in line with judiciary as they
are appointed by executives.

Future
Indian Judicial source under Article 112

could be a way forward towards a healthy
relationship between the three organs of
the government.